

Review of Thermal Infrared Applications and Requirements for Future High-Resolution Sensors

José A. Sobrino, Fabio Del Frate, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Matthias Drusch, Juan C. Jiménez-Muñoz, Paolo Manunta, and Amanda Regan

Abstract—High-resolution thermal infrared (TIR) remote sensing has a wide range of applications. In this paper, we describe the different applications and requirements identified in a literature review and during a consultation meeting with researcher experts in different fields. As a result, more than 30 applications were identified within three different fields: 1) land and solid Earth; 2) health and hazards; and 3) security and surveillance. A complete set of requirements (spatial, temporal, and radiometric resolution, algorithms used, and supporting data, among others) for each application is also provided. The results presented in this paper provide useful information to enhance the importance of high-resolution TIR data for civil applications and may serve as a reference document for future TIR mission concepts.

Index Terms—Fuegosat, high resolution, land surface emissivity, land surface temperature, thermal infrared.

I. CONTEXT: FSS

THE Fuegosat Consolidation Element is part of the Earth Watch Programme approved by the European Space Agency (ESA) Council at the ministerial level in November 2001. The work plan for Fuegosat Consolidation Phase included two steps: the first step proposed to establish a mission architecture comprising nondedicated low Earth orbit (LEO) and geostationary Earth orbit (GEO) operational elements and dedicated infrared sensors, and the second step focused on the definition of an infrared element framework. Risk management related to natural hazards, including fire risk management, was recognized as highly relevant to the Global Monitoring for Environment and Security (GMES), which is now known as Copernicus. It was therefore proposed to implement an infrared element in the form of passenger payloads on all suitable Sentinel spacecraft. The target application was risk management related to natural hazards with a special focus on

Manuscript received July 9, 2015; revised September 24, 2015; accepted November 25, 2015. Date of publication January 12, 2016; date of current version March 25, 2016. This work was supported in part by the European Space Agency under Project Fuegosat Synthesis Study (22900/09/NL/BJ) and in part by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad under CEOS-Spain Project AYA2011-29334-C02-01 and CEOS-Spain2 Project ESP2014-52955-R. (Corresponding author: José A. Sobrino.)

J. A. Sobrino and J. C. Jiménez-Muñoz are with the Global Change Unit, Image Processing Laboratory, University of Valencia, 46010 Valencia, Spain (e-mail: sobrino@uv.es).

F. Del Frate is with the University of Rome Tor Vergata 00173, Rome, Italy.

M. Drusch and A. Regan are with ESA European Space and Technology Centre, 2201 AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands.

P. Manunta is with PLANETEK Italia, 70132 Bari, Italy.

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org>.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TGRS.2015.2509179

fire risk management. Sentinel infrared element accommodation studies were performed up to the preliminary concept review level. However, a reassessment of the mission requirements confirmed weaknesses in the traceability back to GMES/Copernicus operational service definitions, which was due to the nature of the original proposal. In particular, the fire monitoring capabilities could not be traced back to fast track or core service definitions. In parallel, the scope of high-resolution thermal infrared (TIR) observations was increased to include applications beyond fire monitoring as these were seen as relevant to Copernicus. Based on these findings, a program reorientation was defined, which included service definition, identification of new applications, user requirement consolidation, system definition, and associated technology activities.

In this framework, the Fuegosat Synthesis Study (FSS) project contributed to the identification of applications for high-resolution TIR remote sensing and the analysis of user requirements in three different topics: 1) land and solid Earth; 2) health and hazards; and 3) security and surveillance. The FSS project also included the matching of user requirements with derived concepts to identify and outline a set of potential mission scenarios and corresponding requirements. In this paper, we focus on the applications identified during the literature review and the requirements associated with each application.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Literature Review

The methodology employed to identify the different applications and to extract the user requirements is based mainly on available project reports and particularly on papers published in international journals or proceedings presented at international symposia, i.e., these results were mainly obtained from a literature review. However, this approach was not adopted for the “security and surveillance”-related applications since these types of applications are not commonly published and divulged, and the literature review did not provide useful information; therefore, most of the applications and requirements were extracted from personal communications with military institutions. Note that only civilian “security and surveillance”-related applications were included in this paper.

B. Consolidation Review and Workshop

Applications and requirements identified during the literature review were consolidated after a consultation meeting

with different international experts (identified researchers from different organizations). In this meeting, the different applications identified during the literature review were prioritized. The primary conclusion was that a spaceborne high-resolution TIR sensor(s) was required to address the different identified applications.

C. Prioritization of Applications

Performing a tradeoff consolidation analysis, one of the main emerging elements was that any future space mission based on TIR observations cannot concurrently and effectively satisfy all the requirements originating from the rather different applications. Therefore, it was considered that some applications may not be further considered, and for others, a priority ranking should be provided. For this purpose, three indexes were considered, and the sum of the three indexes was used as an indicator of application importance: 1) technological readiness index (TRI); 2) requirement sharing index (RSI); and 2) TIR user need index (TNI). Values of 1, 2, or 3 were assigned to each index.

The TRI refers to the operational maturity of the application and mainly takes into account the technical feasibility of the required spatial resolution, revisit time, and spectral configuration. Applications requiring moderate/low spatial resolutions and revisit times, and low spectral configurations (only 1 or 2 TIR bands) were considered with a high degree of technology readiness ($TRI = 3$), whereas applications requiring high spectral-temporal-spatial data (e.g., multi-/hyperspectral TIR sensors with daily revisit time and spatial resolutions of few meters) were considered with a low degree of readiness ($TRI = 1$).

The second parameter index, i.e., RSI, takes into account the possibility of generalizing the requirements of a given application, i.e., how much the specific requirements of that application can be shared with other applications. The more its requirements are shared by other applications, the higher the RSI. For example, if an application requires the same number of bands, spatial and temporal resolution and geographic coverage as others $RSI = 3$ was assigned. Likewise, $RSI = 2$ was assigned for a medium concordance level, and $RSI = 1$ was assigned when requirements sharing was low.

The third and final element in the priority definition, i.e., the TNI, characterizes the significance/impact of the TIR measurements for the considered application. In this case, the question was: What is the added value of having TIR measurements available for that application (as well as other bands)? If TIR was considered crucial for that application, then it would receive a high ranking. Hence, applications that could not be addressed without TIR bands were considered $TNI = 3$, whereas applications that could be addressed using other spectral ranges than TIR were considered $TNI = 1$. Applications not completely requiring TIR bands but where TIR could provide complementary information were ranked as $TNI = 2$.

Finally, the sum (S) of the three indexes was used to define the following priority levels (low, medium, and high).

| | |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| $S < 4$, | Priority level: Low |
| $4 \leq S \leq 6$, | Priority level: Medium |
| $S > 6$, | Priority level: High |

D. Requirements Tables

The applications and requirements identified during the study were summarized in form of tables, which list the major elements to be addressed in the user requirements review. These elements include: 1) application and source; 2) EO Level 2/3 product; 3) spatial resolution; 4) geographical coverage; 5) temporal resolution; 6) accuracy; 7) algorithms; 8) TIR spectral resolution; 9) other spectral ranges; and 10) supporting data. Despite the significance of these elements are clear for the remote sensing community, some of them require a clarification in the context of this paper.

The item “EO Level 2/3 product” refers to the main product(s) required to address the given application. The categorization of the processing levels may include some ambiguity depending on the criteria used to define the levels. For example, some differences can arise in the definition of levels in NASA and ESA products. The Committee on Earth Observation Satellites also provides a product-level definition, which is also partly based on NASA’s definition. To avoid confusion, we preferred to use more general terms such as “geophysical variables” or even to provide the name of the particular geophysical variable, instead of providing the data level.

The item “spatial resolution” includes the optimal spatial resolution required to address a given application. It should be noted that requirements are provided for future missions. This implies that, in most cases, applications can be performed with more relaxed spatial resolutions, but users expect a better spatial resolution in the future.

In the case of the “TIR spectral resolution,” we provide the required bands in the TIR range between 8 and 14 μm . For some applications (e.g., detection of hot temperature events) other spectral ranges such as the mid infrared (MIR, 3–5 μm) are preferable. However, since we focus on a TIR mission, we always provide in this item bands located in the range 8–14 μm . MIR bands are provided in the item “other spectral ranges,” even if MIR bands are more important than the TIR bands.

III. APPLICATIONS AND REQUIREMENTS

Here, the applications identified during this study, as well as the basic requirements, are presented. Applications were divided into three topics: 1) land and solid Earth; 2) health and hazards; and 3) security and surveillance. However, it was found that some applications could be included in more than one topic heading. Tables I–III present the user requirements for each application and topic, which are briefly discussed in the succeeding sections. It should be noted that describing the scientific background of the different applications is beyond the scope of this paper. However, literature references are given for each application, and some basic details are included in the requirements tables.

A. Land and Solid Earth

Applications included in the “land and solid earth” topic were volcano and fire monitoring, which are based on the detection of high-temperature events (HTE), and evapotranspiration (ET) retrieval and water stress detection, which relate to water

TABLE I
SUMMARY OF USER REQUIREMENTS FOR LAND AND SOLID EARTH APPLICATIONS

| Application [Source] | EO Level 2/3 Product | Spatial resolution | Geographical coverage | Temporal resolution | Accuracy | Algorithms | TIR spectral resolution | Other spectral ranges | Supporting data |
|--|---|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| Eruption clouds [Corradini <i>et al.</i> 2008, Picchiani <i>et al.</i> 2011, Prata <i>et al.</i> 1989] | Geophysical variables (Gases and particle concentrations) | 1-3 km | Large 1000 – 2000 km | 3-4 hours | | Statistical, Brightness Temperature Difference (BTD) | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | UV-VIS | Physical models |
| Tropospheric Plumes [Pugnaghi <i>et al.</i> 2006, Realmuto <i>et al.</i> 1986] | Geophysical variables (Gases and particle concentrations) | 30-100 m | Medium 100 – 500 km | daily | | Look-Up Table, Radiative Transfer Model | Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) | UV-VIS | Physical models, DEM |
| Hot spots and active lava flows [Wright <i>et al.</i> 2002] | Geophysical variables (Normalized Thermal Index) | 10 - 50 m | Small 60-100 km | daily | < 1 K | Threshold | 11μm | 4μm - | Deformation maps - DEM |
| Post eruptive studies on lava flows [Harris <i>et al.</i> 2003, Oppenheimer 1998] | Geophysical variables (Extrusion rates, distribution maps) | 10 – 50 m | Small 100 – 200 km | 15 days | < 1 K | Threshold | 11μm | SWIR-MIR - SAR | DEM |
| Detection of fires [CEOS 2003; Zhukov <i>et al.</i> 2006] | Geophysical variables (LST) | 100 m | Global | 15 minutes | Not critical (hot spots are at least 200 K higher than background) | Temperature threshold from a single image | Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) | SWIR (1.5-2.5 μm) MIR (3-5 μm) | Atmospheric constituents for atm correction |
| Estimation of fire risk [CEOS 2003] | Geophysical variables (Vegetation Index) | 30 m | Global | Daily - Weekly | 2% (usual accuracy of NDVI estimations) | Multitemporal: Vegetation Condition Index (VCI) | - | VNIR (Red,Green, NIR@0.8μm) | - |
| Estimation of burnt area [CEOS 2003; Giglio <i>et al.</i> 2009] | Geophysical variables (Vegetation Index) | 30 m | Global | Daily - Weekly | 2% (usual accuracy of NDVI estimations) | Multitemporal: comparison of reflectance or vegetation index with time series | - | VNIR (Red,Green, NIR@0.8μm) | - |
| Detection of earthquakes – LST [Tronin 2000, Tramutoli <i>et al.</i> 2005, Saraf & Choudhury 2005] | LST | 0.5 – 5 km | 200km - global | daily | < 1 K | Split-window method; Temperature and Emissivity Separation (TES) method | Two bands (10 – 12 μm); Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) | VNIR (Red, NIR@0.8μm) | atmospheric water vapor content; Atmospheric constituents for atm correction |
| Detection of earthquakes - Emissivity [Tronin 2000, Tramutoli <i>et al.</i> 2005, Saraf & Choudhury 2005] | emissivity | 0.5 – 5 km | 200km - global | Weekly - monthly | < 0.01 | Threshold method; TES | Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) | VNIR (Red, NIR@0.8μm) | Atmospheric constituents for atm correction |
| Detection of coal mine fires [J. Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2004, C. Kuenzer <i>et al.</i> 2007, X. Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2004] | Geophysical variables (LST) | 1 - 100 m | Local to Regional | Daily-Monthly | Not critical (hot spots are 20 K higher than background) | Temperature threshold from a single image | 1 broad-band (8-12 μm) Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) | VNIR (Red,Green, NIR@0.8μm) MIR (3-5 μm) | In-situ temperature to select the threshold is recommendable |
| Delineation of potential coal fires and coal fire risk areas [Gao <i>et al.</i> 2006, Gao <i>et al.</i> 2009] | Land cover | 100 m | Local to Regional | Monthly | - | Classification techniques from a single image | Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) | VNIR (Red,Green, NIR@0.8μm) SWIR (1.5-2.5 μm) | - |
| Detection of water stress in crops [Sepulcre-Cantó <i>et al.</i> 2006, Sepulcre-Cantó <i>et al.</i> 2007] | Level 3 (classifications, consider also visible, near infrared and meteorological data) | 2 - 7 m | Local | Daily | 1 K | Temperature threshold, classifications techniques | Two bands (10-12 μm) | VNIR | Meteorological data (air temperature) |
| Detection of water stress in forest [Vidal & Dvaux-Ros 1995, Duchemin <i>et al.</i> 1999] | Land cover | 100 m | Local to Regional | Daily-Monthly | 1 K | Temperature threshold, classifications techniques | Two bands (10-12 μm) | VNIR | Meteorological data (air temperature) |
| Detection of evapotranspiration in crops [Sobrino <i>et al.</i> 2005, Sobrino <i>et al.</i> 2008] | Level 3 (classifications, consider also visible, near infrared and meteorological data) | 1 - 10 m | Local | Instantaneous-Daily | 1 K | Energy Balance Models | Two bands (10-12 μm) | VNIR | Meteorological data (Air temperature, relative humidity) |
| Detection of evapotranspiration in River, Basin [Sánchez <i>et al.</i> 2007, Jia <i>et al.</i> 2009] | Level 3 (classifications, consider also visible, near infrared and meteorological data) | 100 m | Local to Regional | Daily-Monthly | 1 K | Energy Balance Models | Two bands (10-12 μm) | VNIR | Meteorological data (Air temperature, relative humidity, precipitation) |
| Detection of evapotranspiration in continents [Fisher <i>et al.</i> 2008] | Level 3 (classifications, consider also visible, near infrared and meteorological data) | 1 km | Continental-Global | Daily-Monthly | 1 K | Energy Balance Models | Two bands (10-12 μm) | VNIR | Meteorological data (Air temperature, relative humidity, precipitation) |
| Growing Degree Day estimations [Vancutsem <i>et al.</i> 2010, Hassan <i>et al.</i> 2007a] | Level-3 Land surface temperature; Vegetation Index (NDVI) | 20-1000m | Local scale | Daily/Sub-daily | 1-2 K | Multivariate Statistical correlation | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) for Split-Window; Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) for TES | VNIR for NDVI 0.63 – 0.69 μm 0.76 – 0.90 μm | Weather station network & Land cover map |

TABLE I
(Continued.) SUMMARY OF USER REQUIREMENTS FOR LAND AND SOLID EARTH APPLICATIONS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------|---|---|--|---|
| Growing Degree Day mapping [Mikkelsen & Olesen 1984, Blair et al. 2002, Hassan et al. 2007b] | Level-3 LST & Land cover maps | 20-1000m | Regional to local scale | Daily/Sub-daily | 1-2 K | Multivariate Statistical correlation & Spatial Analysis using Computational approach | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) for Split-Window; Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) for TES | VNIR for NDVI 0.63 – 0.69 μm 0.76 – 0.90 μm | Weather station network & Land cover map |
| Cooling Degree Day Estimations [Stathopoulou et al. 2006] | Level-3 Land surface temperature | 20-1000m | Local scale | Daily/Sub-daily | 1-2 K | Method proposed by Stathopoulou et al (2006) | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) for Split-Window; Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) for TES | VNIR for NDVI 0.63 – 0.69 μm 0.76 – 0.90 μm | Weather station network & Land cover map |

TABLE II
SUMMARY OF USER REQUIREMENTS FOR HEALTH AND HAZARDS APPLICATIONS

| Application [Source] | EO Level 2/3 Product | Spatial resolution | Geographical coverage | Temporal resolution | Accuracy | Algorithms | TIR spectral resolution | Other spectral ranges | Supporting data |
|--|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| UHI - Vegetation maps [UHI Proj, 2009] | Maps | 10-100 m | Local-Regional | monthly | | Multivariate statistical | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | Multispectral | Land cover maps |
| UHI - Land cover/Land use [UHI Proj, 2009] | Maps | 10-100 m | Local-Regional | monthly | | Multivariate statistical | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | Multispectral- SAR | GIS |
| UHI - Building information [UHI Proj, 2009] | Temperature | 1 - 10 m | Local | monthly | 1 – 2 K | LST | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | SAR | City maps |
| UHI - Air Quality [UHI Proj, 2009] | Temperature | 20 m – 1km | Local-Regional | Daily-monthly | | Statistical | | UV-VIS | Atmo models |
| Air pollution [Bowman et al. 2006; Barret et al. 2005] | Radiance | 100 m | Local- Regional | Daily (at noon) | - | Inversion techniques from IR sounding measurements | Hyperspectral (3-15 μm) FTIR spectroscopy | - | - |
| Differentiate between urban and industrial zone [Kato & Yamaguchi 2007] | Storage heat flux | 100 m | Local | Monthly | LST: 1.5 K Emissivity: 0.015 | TES for LST/ε and energy balance | Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) | VNIR data for albedo | Meteorological data and surface roughness |
| Oil spill detection [Shcherbak et al. 2008, Tseng & Chiu 1994] | Temperature | 100m-1Km | Local-Regional | Few hours | 1 K | Temperature threshold (LST from split- window) | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | Combination between VNIR and RADAR | |
| Plume detection [Chrysoulakis 2002, Chrysoulakis & Cartalis 2003, Chrysoulakis et al. 2005] | Temperature CLD | 100m-1Km | Local | Few hours | 1 K | Classification and temperature threshold (LST from split- window) | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | VNIR for NDVI 0.63 – 0.69 μm 0.76 – 0.90 μm | |
| Prediction of floods [Billa et al. 2006, Feidas et al. 2000, Morales et al. 2003] | Level 3 (classifications, consider also visible and near-infrared) | 500m - 1km | Regional | Daily | - | Temperature threshold, classifications techniques | Two bands (10-12 μm) | VNIR or Passive microwave | Lighting information from long- range network |
| Monitoring of floods [Roshier et al. 2004, Lakshmi et al. 2001] | Level 3 (thresholds) | 1m - 1 km | Local to regional | Daily (Noon-Midnight) | 1 K | Temperature threshold from a single image | Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8-12 μm) | - | - |
| Mapping malaria potential regions [Green & Hay 2002, Gemperli et al. 2004, Rahman et al. 2006] | Level-3 Land surface temperature; Vegetation Index (NDVI) | 100-1000 m | Continental to regional scale | Daily/sub-daily | < 2K | Split-window | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | VNIR for NDVI | Meteorological data |
| Arthropod vector ecology and disease distribution [Marj et al. 2008, Gemperli et al. 2004] | Level-3 LST & Land cover maps | 100-1000 m | Continental to regional scale | 10 days composite/sub- daily | < 2K | Split-window | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | VNIR for NDVI | Meteorological data |
| Mapping cholera potential regions [Gil et al. 2004, Lobitz et al. 2000, Emch et al. 2008] | Level-3 ; SST and Chlorophyll concentrations | 100-1000 m | Continental to regional scale | Daily/sub-daily | < 1K | Split-window | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | VNIR (blue and green channels for chlorophyll) | Meteorological data |
| Mapping meningitis outbreak [Gemperli et al. 2004] | Level-3 ; LST and Dust Blown map | 100-5000 m | Continental to regional scale | Daily/sub-daily | < 2K | Split-window | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | VNIR for NDVI | Meteorological data |
| Asbestos-cement detection over non-accessible areas [Bassani et al. 2007] | Level-2 (radiance) Level 3 Emissivity at high spatial level | 3-20 m | Local scale | Monthly/daily | Not critical, only relative values are used | Temperature and Emissivity Separation algorithms | Hyperspectral (with a band in 9.44 μm) | VNIR for visual inspection recommendable | Laboratory analysis, mineralogical composition, in-situ measurements |

TABLE III
SUMMARY OF USER REQUIREMENTS FOR SECURITY AND SURVEILLANCE APPLICATIONS

| Application [Source] | EO Level 2/3 Product | Spatial resolution | Geographical coverage | Temporal resolution | Accuracy | Algorithms | TIR spectral resolution | Other spectral ranges | Supporting data |
|--|----------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------|--|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Detection of minefields and landmines [Maathuis & van Genderen 2004] | Geophysical variable: LST | 2-8 cm (landmines) 1-5 m (minefields) | Local | Overpass time: sunrise, sunset | <0.5°C | Split-window (SW); Temperature and Emissivity Separation (TES) | Two bands (10 – 12 μm); Multispectral (≥ 3 bands in 8 – 12 μm) | VNIR (Red, NIR@0.8 μm) | Emissivity, water vapor content |
| Border security [Personal Interview] | TOA Brightness Temperature | ~15m | Europe + N Africa to 5°N | 1-2 days | Detection | | | SAR | DEM |
| Object monitoring and detection [Personal Interview] | TOA Brightness Temperature | ~10m | Local | | Detection | Two dimensional MRTD* models | 1 band: 0.8 - 2.5 μm | SAR | DEM |
| Ship & port monitoring: Piracy/drug smuggling/illegal Immigration [Personal Interview] | TOA Brightness Temperature | ~15m | Lat.: 60° N to 35° S Lon. 70° W to 70° E | | Detection | Two dimensional MRTD* models | 1 band: 0.8 - 2.5 μm | SAR, VIS | Automatic Identification System (AIS) |
| Industrial/power plant monitoring [Wu <i>et al.</i> 2007, Tang <i>et al.</i> 2003] | Geophysical variable: LST | 10-15m | | | 1-2 K | TES, models, statistics | Spectrometry | UV/VIS/NIR | Sonde measurements |
| Trafficability (off-road soil moisture content) [Personal Interview] | Geophysical variable: LST | 30m | | | 1-2 K | Target Translation Method (TTM) | Two bands (10 – 12 μm) | SAR | DEM, reanalysis, surface emissivity |

(*) Minimum Resolvable Temperature Difference

management issues. Other secondary applications included the role of TIR data in earthquake events, detection of coal mine fires, and growing degree days. Requirements for all these applications are summarized in Table I.

1) *Volcano Monitoring*: Volcanic eruptions pose serious hazards to sensitive ecosystems, transportation, and communication networks and to populated regions. Knowing the mineralogy of a rock or alluvial surface is critically important to geologists trying to interpret the geologic, climatic, or volcanic history of the surface. Spectroscopy and remote sensing in the TIR region has lagged behind that of other wavelength regions for numerous reasons. However, the utility of TIR remote sensing for geology and mineralogy has become clear in the past decades and numerous air- and space-based instruments (in LEO and GEO) have become available. Volcano monitoring, particularly during the eruption phase, requires a high temporal resolution, and typically, volcanologists use GEO-based data sacrificing spatial resolution for temporal resolution. Another feature of volcano monitoring is that by, its very nature, the location of the volcano is known, which for spaceborne system definition is important.

2) *Fire Monitoring*: Fires are a major security hazard in numerous countries around the world and affect urban and rural areas alike. Here, the term “fire” will be dedicated to any wild fire occurring in the natural environment, including farmland fires [7]. Wildland fire is any nonstructural fire. This is different to a controlled fire, which can be set on purpose by professionals on vegetated areas such as forests, savannahs, or Mediterranean vegetation. In Europe, the Southern countries (Portugal, Spain, France, Italy, and Greece) are the most affected by fires, with an average of almost 50 000 fires between 1980 and 2008, corresponding to an average annual burnt area of more than 480 000 hectares [27]. The total cost of fires can be estimated at around 1% of the global gross domestic

product [60], including the costs of direct and indirect fire losses, the cost of fire-fighting organizations, the cost of fire insurance administration and the cost of fire protection for buildings.

Fires are typically characterized by parameters such as emission plume extent, temperature, and fire radiative power. Most *in situ* daytime fire sightings result from the observation of smoke generated by fuel combustion, whereas most nighttime sightings result from high and unusual luminosity of the burning areas. The high temperature of the burning areas makes the fires detectable from space under clear-sky conditions.

3) *Water Management*: Detection of water stress and ET retrieval are key applications for water management purposes. Thermal infrared remote sensing has been recognized for a long time as one of the most feasible means to detect and evaluate water stress and to quantify ET over large areas in a spatially distributed manner.

Water stress is considered to be a major environmental factor limiting plant productivity worldwide. Water stress develops in plants when evaporative losses cannot be sustained by extracting water from the soil by the roots.

ET describes the loss of water from the Earth’s surface to the atmosphere by the combined processes of evaporation from surface and transpiration from vegetation. ET depends on the presence of water and is regulated by the availability of energy, which is needed to convert liquid water to water vapor and to transport vapor from the land surface to the atmosphere. Physiological regulations also occur in plants through mechanisms controlling water extraction by the roots, water transport in plant tissue, and water release to the atmosphere via the stomata at the leaf surface (in direct relation with the mechanisms of CO₂ assimilation and photosynthesis).

4) *Other Applications*: Other applications using TIR remotely sensed data were also identified within the “land and

solid Earth” topic. Examples include earthquake monitoring, coal mine fire monitoring, and growing degree days. However, during the consolidation process of the study, these applications were considered medium to low priority compared with the other applications stated earlier. This does not mean, however, that these applications are not important, but within the scope of this review and its focus on high resolution, these applications were not considered to be main drivers.

B. Health and Hazards

The last two decades have witnessed an increasing use of remote sensing for understanding the geophysical phenomena underlying natural hazards. The scientific knowledge gained along with the ability to disseminate timely geospatial information together with demographic and socioeconomic data contributes to comprehensive risk mitigation planning and improved disaster response. Observations from Earth-orbiting satellites are complementary to local and regional airborne observations and to traditional *in situ* field measurements and ground-based sensor networks. The contributions of satellite remote sensing to Earth science, ranging from high-resolution topography (using e.g., interferometric SAR, lidar, and digital photogrammetry) and geodesy to passive multispectral thermal sensors, such as ASTER or MODIS and active microwave imaging, have transformed the discipline. This transformation has resulted in a rapidly growing field of applied research that is increasingly able to provide geospatial information products fulfilling the operational needs of multihazard decision support tools and systems. Policy makers and emergency managers/responders from many levels, e.g., international, federal, state, regional and local jurisdictions, use these tools and systems to generate scenarios, devise mitigation plans, and implement effective response measures.

In this topic, two major applications are considered: the urban heat island (UHI) effect and epidemiology. Other applications such as industrial risks, coastal inundations, and asbestos–cement detection are also identified and presented. Requirements for all these applications are provided in Table II. Note that fire risk could be also considered a “health and hazard” application, but it was included in the “land and solid Earth” applications.

1) *UHI*: Thermal remote sensing has been used over urban areas to assess UHI effects, to perform land cover classifications, and as input for models of urban surface atmosphere exchange. The main surface parameter to be extracted from thermal remote sensing is the so-called land surface temperature (LST) or simply surface temperature, which is of primary importance to the study of urban climatology. LST modulates the air temperature of the lowest layers of the urban atmosphere, and it is central to the understanding of the energy balance of the surface. LST helps to determine the internal climates of buildings and is fundamental to energy exchanges, which affect the comfort and well being of city dwellers. Surface and atmospheric modifications due to urbanization generally lead to a modified thermal climate that is warmer than the surrounding nonurbanized areas, particularly at night. This phenomenon is known as the UHI. UHIs have long been studied by ground-

based observations taken from fixed thermometer networks or by traverses with thermometers mounted on vehicles. With the advent of thermal remote sensing technology, remote observation of UHIs became possible from satellite and aircraft platforms and has provided new avenues for studying their causes through the combination of thermal remote sensing and urban micrometeorology [59]. Since thermal remote sensors observe the spatial patterns of thermal radiance at the surface, the term surface UHI (SUHI) is usually employed to distinguish between UHI (when air temperature is considered) and SUHI (when LST is considered). For this field, most information was extracted from an UHI project funded by ESA under the DUE program (<http://www.urbanheatland.info>).

2) *Epidemiology*: There is a growing international consciousness about the importance of the epidemiology of diseases. It is recognized that improved up-to-date information about the environment where infectious diseases occur will help epidemiologists to study, understand, and predict threats to human health and hazards. Spaceborne Earth observation opens up new opportunities to predict and help combat epidemic outbreaks, as well as to join the search for the origin of pathogens. In fact, several diseases can be analyzed using factors that have been determined through remote sensing data; a detailed list of them was studied by Beck *et al.* [3] and referenced therein.

Remote sensing data creates an important opportunity to evaluate risk areas and determine the spatial distribution of some epidemic or vector outbreaks, which affect human health. In fact, since the 1970s, remote sensing improvements have contributed to health science. Some free or low-cost environmental and meteorological data sets (e.g., low-resolution images) have been used to assess epidemic risks at global, regional, and local levels. Therefore, remote sensing data can provide valuable information for determining risk factors and mapping risk areas; these can then be integrated into models, which are based on ecological analyses [25].

3) *Other Applications*: Other operational contexts in the framework of “health and hazards” applications can benefit from TIR remote sensing. Among them, industrial risks, coastal inundation, and the detection of asbestos–cement were considered. However, these applications were considered as lower priority within the context of this paper.

C. Security and Surveillance

Applications and user requirements for security-and-surveillance-related issues are currently only vaguely defined within the public forum. This is obviously because this domain is closely linked with military and politically sensitive applications. In addition, these applications require primarily very high spatial resolution TIR data, and less emphasis is given to spectral configurations or algorithms to extract geophysical quantities. Operational TIR systems at very high resolution are rarely accessible to the scientific community, and therefore, the available knowledge in the scientific community is limited. This fact implies that a review of peer-reviewed literature (as considered for “solid Earth” and “health and hazards” applications) is limited at best, and this was reflected in the list of applications and user requirements (only limited information could be obtained from international journals).

TABLE IV
SUMMARY OF TIR REMOTE SENSING APPLICATIONS AFTER THE CONSOLIDATION REVIEW. A PRIORITY LEVEL (HIGH, MEDIUM, LOW) HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO EACH APPLICATION USING THE INDICES (TR, RS, TIR) PRESENTED IN SECTION II-C

| Topic | Subtopic | Application | TR | RS | TIR | Priority |
|-------------------------|---|--|----|----|--------|----------|
| Land & Solid Earth | Volcanoes | Eruption clouds-ash | 1 | 2 | 3 | Medium |
| | | Degassing plumes | 2 | 2 | 3 | High |
| | | Hot spots and active lava flows | 2 | 2 | 3 | High |
| | Fires | Detection of fires | 1 | 2 | 3 | Medium |
| | | Estimation of fire risk | 3 | 2 | 2 | High |
| | | Estimation of burnt area | 3 | 2 | 1 | Medium |
| | Water management | Detection of water stress in crops (tree crops) | 1 | 1 | 3 | Medium |
| | | Detection of water stress in crops (typical crops) | 2 | 3 | 3 | High |
| | | Detection of water stress in forest | 2 | 3 | 3 | High |
| | | Detection of evapotranspiration in crops (tree crops) | 1 | 1 | 3 | Medium |
| | | Detection of evapotranspiration in crops (typical crops) | 2 | 3 | 3 | High |
| | | Detection of evapotranspiration in River Basin | 3 | 3 | 3 | High |
| | Coal mine fires | Detection of coal mine fires | 2 | 2 | 3 | High |
| | | Delineation of potential coal fires and coal fire risk areas | 3 | 1 | 1 | Medium |
| Geology | Soil composition | 2 | 2 | 3 | High | |
| Health & Hazards | Urban Heat Island | Vegetation maps | 2 | 3 | 2 | High |
| | | Land cover/Land Use | 2 | 3 | 2 | High |
| | | Building Information | 1 | 1 | 3 | Medium |
| | | Air Quality | 2 | 2 | 2 | Medium |
| | Industrial risks | Air pollution | 1 | 1 | 3 | Medium |
| | | Differentiate between urban and industrial zone | 3 | 3 | 2 | High |
| | | Oil spill detection | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low |
| | Coastal inundations | Plume detection | 1 | 1 | 2 | Medium |
| | | Prediction of floods | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low |
| | | Monitoring of floods | 2 | 2 | 1 | Medium |
| | Asbestos-cement detection over non-accessible areas | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low | |
| Security & Surveillance | | Detection of minefields | 1 | 1 | 1 | Low |
| | | Border Security | 1 | 2 | 2 | Medium |
| | | Target activity monitoring | 1 | 2 | 2 | Medium |
| | Ship/Port monitoring | Piracy/drug smuggling/Illegal Immigration | 2 | 2 | 1 | Medium |
| | | Industrial/power plant monitoring | 2 | 2 | 1 | Medium |
| | Trafficability (off-road soil moisture content) | 2 | 2 | 1 | Medium | |

Most of the openly available information includes applications using handheld thermal cameras or unmanned aerial vehicles, with spatial resolutions on the order of centimeters. One sensor identified was the Multispectral Thermal Imager (MTI) sensor, developed at Los Alamos National Laboratory (Sandia National Laboratory). This sensor has a spatial resolution of 5 m in the visible bands and 20 m in the thermal bands. MTI is an American quasi-military reconnaissance sensor on a spacecraft launched in March 2000. The program was cosponsored by the American Department of Energy, Office of Nonproliferation and National Security. The 587-kg spacecraft carried visible and infrared sensors in 15 spectral bands to spot cooling ponds adjacent to nuclear reactors and dust content associated with uranium ore processing [51]. The collected data also has spin-off benefits for civilian research involving atmospheric ozone measurement, water vapor content, etc.

The Copernicus initiative (www.copernicus.eu) also includes some preoperational security services. G-MOSAIC (<http://www.gmes-gmosaic.eu>) and LIMES (<http://www.fp6-limes.eu>) are two examples. These already completed projects combined Earth observation technologies with communication and positioning technologies, addressing different domains, such as maritime surveillance, infrastructure surveillance, providing support to peace-keeping, etc. However, the applications found within these projects relied on high-resolution visible and near-infrared (VNIR) imagery (e.g., IKONOS, QUICKBIRD) and SAR data: No user needs related to high-resolution TIR data

were identified. This is likely because no TIR sensor with high resolution and revisit time is currently available.

Different applications were suggested by the military organizations consulted by the study team, and from these meetings, basic user needs were identified. As stated earlier, since information was provided through personal communication, a strong justification of the identified user needs cannot be provided in some cases. It should be noted that the International Society for Optics and Photonics (SPIE) organizes the Security+Defence conferences and publish the proceedings of these conferences. Despite this valuable information for the “security and surveillance” topic, the study team had no access to this documentation, and it was not consulted during this study. The main user requirements came from the European Union Satellite Centre, which supports the decision-making of the Common European Security and Defence Policy. Identified user needs for “security and surveillance” applications are provided in Table III.

IV. CONCLUSION

The review performed in the framework of the FSS identified several high-resolution thermal remote sensing applications and requirements spanning three different topics: land and solid Earth, health and hazards, and security and surveillance. Results presented were extracted from literature, although in the case of the “security and surveillance” topic information from personal communication was also incorporated. Main

applications in the land and solid Earth topic including volcano and fire monitoring, as well as detection of water stress and retrieval of ET for water management purposes, lead to the identification of about 20 applications. In the case of the health and hazards topic, the main applications identified were UHIs and epidemiology, leading to the identification of more than ten different applications. Applications related to the security and surveillance topic was based on limited information found in the literature, and only a few applications were identified. After the consolidation review, some applications were discarded, and requirements were iterated. A priority level was also assigned to each application. The final list of applications and associated priority levels compiled within the context of this review is presented in Table IV.

There is a clear perception that a high-resolution TIR mission with a near daily revisit would have significant consensus among the various user communities since existing high-resolution TIR sensors (e.g., Landsat/TM-ETM-TIRS, Terra/ASTER) do not meet most of the user requirements. This finding is also reflected by recent and past studies and proposals such as MicroSatellite for Thermal InfraRed Ground Surface Imaging (MISTIGRI) and the Thermal Infrared Explorer (TIREX). MISTIGRI is a Centre National D'Études Spatiales (CNES) microsatellite project carrying a TIR sensor suite in cooperation with Spain. TIREX was a proposal presented in 2010 to the ESA's call for Earth Explorer Opportunity Missions, which was finally deselected for Phase A. The originality of MISTIGRI and TIREX was to combine a high spatial resolution (~ 50 m) with high revisit capabilities of one or two days over selected sites. Another related initiative is the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory Hyperspectral Infrared Imager (HyspIRI) mission (<https://hyspiri.jpl.nasa.gov/>).

In summary, a number of high-resolution TIR applications (~ 40) were analyzed and technical requirements for a potential TIR sensor were identified. The results presented in this paper can serve as a reference for the design of a future high-resolution TIR sensor, which would bridge the currently existing gap between high spatial and temporal resolution TIR data.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the different participants in the "Workshop on Fuegosat Requirements Consolidation" for the valuable discussion and suggestions to improve the table requirements, as well as the other team members who contributed to the literature review of applications.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Barret *et al.*, "Global carbon monoxide vertical distributions from spaceborne high-resolution FTIR nadir measurements," *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 2901–2914, Nov. 2005.
- [2] C. Bassani *et al.*, "Deterioration status of asbestos-cement roofing sheets assessed by analyzing hyperspectral data," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 361–378, Aug. 2007.
- [3] L. R. Beck, M. L. Bradley, and B. L. Wood, "Remote sensing and human health: New sensor and new opportunities," *Emerging Infect. Diseases*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 217–225, May/June 2000.
- [4] L. Billa, S. Mansor, A. R. Mahmud, and A. H. Ghazali, "Modelling rainfall intensity from NOAA AVHRR data for operational flood forecasting in Malaysia," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 27, no. 23, pp. 5225–5234, Dec. 2006.
- [5] R. Blair, B. Blair-Fitzharris, and K. Richards, "Interpolation of growing degree-days in non-homogeneous terrain," in *Proc. 14th Annu. Colloq. Spatial Inf. Res. Centre Univ. Otago*, Dunedin, New Zealand, 2002, pp. 1–10.
- [6] K. W. Bowman *et al.*, "Tropospheric emission spectrometer: Retrieval method and error analysis," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 1297–1307, May 2006.
- [7] CEOS, "The use of Earth observing satellites for hazard support: Assessments and scenarios," Nat. Ocean. Atmos. Admin./Nat. Environ. Satell., Data Inf. Service, Silver Spring, MD, USA, Final Rep. CEOS DMSG, Nov., 2003.
- [8] N. Chrysoulakis, "Thermal detection of plumes produced by industrial accidents in urban areas based on the presence of the heat island," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 23, no. 14, pp. 2909–2916, Jan. 2002.
- [9] N. Chrysoulakis and C. Cartalis, "A new algorithm for detection of plumes caused by industrial accidents, based on NOAA/AVHRR imagery," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 24, no. 17, pp. 3353–3367, Jan. 2003.
- [10] N. Chrysoulakis, N. Adaktylou, and C. Cartalis, "Detecting and monitoring plumes caused by major industrial accidents with JPLUME, a new software tool for low-resolution image analysis," *Environ. Model. Softw.*, vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 1486–1494, Dec. 2005.
- [11] S. Corradini, S. Pugnaghi, S. Teggi, M. F. Buongiorno, and M. P. Bogliolo, "Will ASTER see the Etna SO₂ plume?" *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1207–1218, Jan. 2003.
- [12] B. Duchemin, J. Goubier, and G. Courrier, "Monitoring phenological key stages and cycle duration of temperate deciduous forest ecosystems with NOAA/AVHRR data," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 68–82, Jan. 1999.
- [13] M. Emch *et al.*, "Local environmental predictors of cholera in Bangladesh and Vietnam," *Amer. J. Tropical Med. Hygiene*, vol. 78, no. 5, pp. 823–832, May 2008.
- [14] H. N. Feidas, C. Cartalis, and A. P. Cracknell, "Use of Meteosat imagery to define clouds linked with floods in Greece," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 1047–1072, Jan. 2000.
- [15] J. B. Fisher, K. Tu, and D. D. Baldocchi, "Global estimates of the land-atmosphere water flux based on monthly AVHRR and ISLSCP-II data, validated at 16 FLUXNET sites," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 112, no. 3, pp. 901–919, Mar. 2008.
- [16] Y. Gao, J. F. Mas, B. H. P. Maathuis, X. Zhang, and P. M. Van Dijk, "Comparison of pixel-based and object-oriented image classification approaches—A case study in a coal fire area, Wuda, Inner Mongolia, China," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 27, no. 18, pp. 4039–4055, Sep. 2006.
- [17] Y. Gao, N. Kerle, and J. F. Mas, "Object-based image analysis for coal fire-related land cover mapping in coal mining areas," *Geocarto Int.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 25–36, Feb. 2009.
- [18] A. Gemperli, P. Vounatsou, D. Anderegg, and G. Pluschke, "EPIDEMIO: Earth observation in epidemiology," in *Proc. Envisat ERS Symp.*, Salzburg, Austria, Sep. 6–10, 2004, pp. 91.1–91.7, ESA SP-572, April 2005.
- [19] L. Giglio, T. Loboda, D. P. Roy, B. Quayle, and C. O. Justice, "An active-fire based burned area mapping algorithm for the MODIS sensor," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 408–420, Feb. 2009.
- [20] A. I. Gil *et al.*, "Occurrence and distribution of *Vibrio cholerae* in the coastal environment of Peru," *Environ. Microbiol.*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 699–706, Jul. 2004.
- [21] R. M. Green and S. I. Hay, "The potential of Pathfinder AVHRR data for providing surrogate climatic variables across Africa and Europe for epidemiological applications," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 79, no. 2/3, pp. 166–175, Feb. 2002.
- [22] A. J. L. Harris, W. I. Rose, and L. P. Flynn, "Temporal trends in lava dome extrusion at Santiaguito 1922–2000," *Bull. Volcanol.*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 77–89, Mar. 2003.
- [23] Q. Hassan, C. P. A. Bourque, F. R. Meng, and W. Richards, "Spatial mapping of growing degree days: An application of MODIS-based surface temperatures and enhanced vegetation index," *J. Appl. Remote Sens.*, vol. 1, no. 1, Apr. 2007, Art. ID 013511.
- [24] Q. Hassan, C. P. A. Bourque, and F. R. Meng, "Application of Landsat-7 ETM+ and MODIS products in mapping seasonal accumulation of growing degree days at an enhanced resolution," *J. Appl. Remote Sens.*, vol. 1, no. 1, Sep. 2007, Art. ID 013539.
- [25] V. Herbretreau, G. Salem, M. Souris, J. P. Hugot, and J. P. Gonzalez, "Thirty years of use and improvement of remote sensing, applied to epidemiology: From early promises to lasting frustration," *Health Place*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 400–403, Jun. 2007.
- [26] L. Jia *et al.*, "Regional estimation of daily to annual regional evapotranspiration with MODIS data in the Yellow River Delta wetland," *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1775–1787, Oct. 2009.

- [27] JRC, "Forest Fires in Europe 2008," Eur. Commiss./Joint Res. Centre/Inst. Environ. Sustainability, Ispra, Italy, Rep. 9, 2008. [Online]. Available: http://forest.jrc.ec.europa.eu/media/cms_page_media/9/forest-fires-in-europe-2008.pdf
- [28] S. Kato and Y. Yamaguchi, "Estimation of storage heat flux in an urban area using ASTER data," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 1–17, Sep. 2007.
- [29] C. Kuenzer *et al.*, "Detecting unknown coal fires: Synergy of automated coal fire risk area delineation and improved thermal anomaly extraction," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 28, no. 20, pp. 4561–4585, Oct. 2007.
- [30] V. Lakshmi and K. Schaaf, "Analysis of the 1993 midwestern flood using satellite and ground data," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 39, no. 8, pp. 1736–1743, Aug. 2001.
- [31] B. Lobitz *et al.*, "Climate and infectious disease: Use of remote sensing off detection of Vibrio cholerae by indirect measurement," *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 97, no. 4, pp. 1438–1443, Feb. 2000.
- [32] B. H. P. Maathuis and J. L. Van Genderen, "A review of satellite and airborne sensors for remote sensing based detection of minefields and landmines," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 25, no. 23, pp. 5201–5245, Dec. 2004.
- [33] A. Marj, M. R. Mobasher, M. J. Valadanouzje, Y. Rezaei, and M. R. Abaei, "Using satellite images in determination of malaria outbreaks potential region," *Environ. Hazard.*, vol. 8, no. 2 pp. 89–100, 2009.
- [34] S. A. Mikkelsen and J. E. Olesen, "Computer-aided mapping of growing degree days for Denmark, calculated from monthly temperature normals," *Acta Agric. Scand.*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 330–338, Jan. 1984.
- [35] C. A. Morales and E. N. Anagnostou, "Extending the capabilities of high-frequency rainfall estimation from geostationary-based satellite infrared via a network of long-range lightning observations," *J. Hydrometeorol.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 141–159, Apr. 2003.
- [36] C. Oppenheimer, "Volcanological applications of meteorological satellites," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 19, no. 15, pp. 2829–2864, Jan. 1998.
- [37] M. Picchiani *et al.*, "Volcanic ash detection and retrievals from MODIS data by means of neural networks," *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 2619–2631, May 2011.
- [38] A. J. Prata, "Infrared radiative transfer calculations for volcanic ash clouds," *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 1293–1296, Nov. 1989.
- [39] S. Pugnaghi, G. Gangale, S. Corradini, and M. F. Buongiorno, "Mt. Etna sulfur dioxide flux monitoring using ASTER-TIR data and atmospheric observations," *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, vol. 152, no. 1/2, pp. 74–90, Apr. 2006.
- [40] A. Rahman, F. Kogan, and L. Roytman, "Short report: Analysis of malaria cases in Bangladesh with remote sensing data," *Amer. J. Tropical Med. Hygiene*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 17–19, Jan. 2006.
- [41] V. J. Realmuto, M. J. Abrams, and M. F. Buongiorno, "The use of multispectral thermal infrared image data to estimate the sulfur dioxide flux from volcanoes: A case study from mount Etna, Sicily, July 29, 1986," *J. Geophys. Res.*, vol. 99, no. B1, pp. 481–488, Jan. 1994.
- [42] D. A. Roshier and R. M. Rumbachs, "Broad-scale mapping of temporary wetlands in arid Australia," *J. Arid Environ.*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 249–263, Jan. 2004.
- [43] J. M. Sanchez *et al.*, "Monitoring daily evapotranspiration at a regional scale from Landsat-TM and ETM+ data: Application to the Basilicata region," *J. Hydrol.*, vol. 351, no. 1/2, pp. 58–70, Mar. 2008.
- [44] A. K. Saraf and S. Choudhury, "Cover: NOAA-AVHRR detects thermal anomaly associated with the 26 January 2001 Bhuj earthquake, Gujarat, India," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1065–1073, Mar. 2005.
- [45] G. Sepulcre-Cantó *et al.*, "Detection of water stress in an olive orchard with thermal remote sensing imagery," *Agric. Forest Meteorol.*, vol. 136, no. 1/2, pp. 31–44, Jan. 2006.
- [46] G. Sepulcre-Cantó *et al.*, "Monitoring yield and fruit quality parameters in open-canopy tree crops under water stress. Implications for ASTER," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 455–470, Apr. 2007.
- [47] S. S. Shcherbak *et al.*, "Multisensor satellite monitoring of seawater state and oil pollution in the northeastern coastal zone of the Black Sea," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 29, no. 21, pp. 6331–6345, Nov. 2008.
- [48] J. A. Sobrino, M. Gomez, J. C. Jimenez-Muñoz, A. Olioso, and G. Chehbouni, "A simple algorithm to estimate evapotranspiration from DAIS data: Application to the DAISEX campaigns," *J. Hydrol.*, vol. 315, no. 1–4, pp. 117–125, Dec. 2005.
- [49] J. A. Sobrino *et al.*, "Thermal remote sensing in the framework of the SEN2FLEX project: Field measurements, airborne data and applications," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 29, no. 17/18, pp. 4961–4991, Sep. 2008.
- [50] M. Stathopoulou, C. Cartalis, and N. Chrysoulakis, "Using midday surface temperature to estimate cooling degree-days from NOAA-AVHRR thermal infrared data: An application for Athens, Greece," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 414–422, Apr. 2006.
- [51] J. J. Szymanski and P. G. Weber, "Multispectral thermal imager: Mission and applications overview," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 43, no. 9, 1943–1949, Sep. 2005.
- [52] D. Tang, D. R. Kester, Z. Wang, J. Lian, and H. Kawamura, "AVHRR satellite remote sensing and shipboard measurements of the thermal plume from the Daya Bay, nuclear power station, China," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 84, no. 4, pp. 506–515, Apr. 2003.
- [53] V. Tramutoli, V. Cuomo, C. Filizzola, N. Pergola, and C. Pietrapertosa, "Assessing the potential of thermal infrared satellite surveys for monitoring seismically active areas. The case of Kocaeli (Izmit) earthquake, August 17, 1999," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 96, no. 3/4, pp. 409–426, Jun. 2005.
- [54] A. A. Tronin, "Thermal IR satellite sensor data application for earthquake research in China," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 21, no. 16, pp. 3169–3177, Jan. 2000.
- [55] W. Y. Tseng and L. S. Chiu, "AVHRR observations of Persian Gulf oil spills," in *Proc. IGARSS*, 1994, 2, pp. 779–782.
- [56] UHI Project, Requirements Baseline Document, pkt258-25-2.0, 2009.
- [57] C. Vancutsem, P. Ceccato, T. Dinku, and S. J. Connor, "Evaluation of MODIS land surface temperature data to estimate air temperature in different ecosystems over Africa," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. 449–465, Feb. 2010.
- [58] A. Vidal and C. Devaux-Ros, "Evaluating forest FIRE hazard with a Landsat TM derived water stress index," *Agric. Forest Meteorol.*, vol. 77, no. 3/4, pp. 207–224, Dec. 1995.
- [59] J. A. Voogt and T. R. Oke, "Thermal remote sensing of urban climates," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 370–384, Aug. 2003.
- [60] WFSC World Fire Statistics, Information Bulletin of the World Statistics Centre, 2009. [Online]. Available: <http://www.genevaassociation.org/PDF/WFSC/GA2009-FIRE25.pdf>
- [61] R. Wright, L. Flynn, H. Garbeil, A. Harris, and E. Pilger, "Automated volcanic eruption detection using MODIS," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 135–155, Sep. 2002.
- [62] C. Wu, Q. Wang, Z. Yang, and W. Wang, "Monitoring heated water pollution of the DaYaWan nuclear power plant using TM images," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 885–890, Mar. 2007.
- [63] J. Zhang, W. Wagner, A. Prakash, H. Mehl, and S. Voigt, "Detecting coal fires using remote sensing techniques," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 25, no. 16, pp. 3193–3220, Aug. 2004.
- [64] X. Zhang, J. Zhang, C. Kuenzer, S. Voigt, and W. Wagner, "Capability evaluation of 3–5 μm and 8–12.5 μm airborne thermal data for underground coal fire detection," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 2245–2258, Jun. 2004.
- [65] B. Zhukov, E. Lorenz, D. Oertel, M. Wooster, and G. Roberts, "Spaceborne detection and characterization of fires during the Bi-spectral Infrared Detection (BIRD) experimental small satellite mission (2001–2004)," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 29–51, Jan. 2006.



José A. Sobrino From 2003 to 2007, he was a member of the Earth Science Advisory Committee of the European Space Agency. He is currently a Professor of physics and remote sensing and the Head of the Global Change Unit, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain. He is the author of more than 150 papers. His research interests include atmospheric correction in visible and thermal infrared domains, retrieval of emissivity and surface temperature from satellite images, and development of remote sensing methods for land cover dynamic monitoring.

Dr. Sobrino is currently the President of the Spanish Association of Remote Sensing. He served as the President of the series of Symposiums on Recent Advances in Quantitative Remote Sensing. He served as the Director of the *Spanish Journal of Remote Sensing* from 2009 to 2013.



Fabio Del Frate (M'03–SM'09) received the Laurea degree in electronic engineering and the Ph.D. degree in computer science from the University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy, in 1992 and 1997, respectively.

From September 1995 to June 1996, he was a Visiting Scientist with the Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. In 1998 and 1999, he was with the European Space Agency (ESA), European Space Research Institute, Frascati, Italy, as a Research Fellow. In 2015, he was appointed as a EUMETSAT Associate Scientist. He is currently a Research Professor with the University of Rome Tor Vergata, where he teaches courses of applied electromagnetics and remote sensing. He has acted and is a Principal Investigator in several remote sensing projects supported by ESA. In 2006, he co-founded GEO-K Srl, the first spin-off company of the University of Rome Tor Vergata. He is the author or coauthor of more than 200 international publications, with a special focus on the applications of neural networks to remote sensing inversion problems.

Dr. Del Frate has been a Session Organizer and member of technical committees in different international conferences about remote sensing. He has served as a Guest Editor for the *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing* and serves as an Associate Editor for the IEEE GEOSCIENCE AND REMOTE SENSING LETTERS.

Matthias Drusch, photograph and biography not available at the time of publication.



Juan C. Jiménez-Muñoz received the Ph.D. degree in physics from the University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain, in 2005.

He is currently a Research Scientist with the Global Change Unit, Department of Earth Physics and Thermodynamics, University of Valencia. His main research interests include thermal remote sensing and temperature/emissivity retrieval.

Paolo Manunta, photograph and biography not available at the time of publication.

Amanda Regan, photograph and biography not available at the time of publication.